BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA

CM #22-04 JANUARY 27, 2022 2:00 ~ 2:53 P.M.

P. BELSER, VICE CHAIR; *and* COMMISSIONERS Carolyn L. 'Carolee' WILLIAMS, Stephen M. 'Mike' CASTON^[A/V], Thomas J. 'Tom' ERVIN^[A/V], Headen B. THOMAS^[A/V], and Delton W. POWERS, JR.

COMMISSION MEMBER(S) ABSENT: —

Presenting Agenda: F. David Butler

SPECIAL COUNSEL

STAFF PRESENT: Jocelyn Boyd, Chief Clerk/Executive Director; Jo Anne Wessinger Hill, General Counsel; Sandra V. Moser, Esq., Legal Staff; Virginia 'Ginger' Crocker and Janice Schmieding, Clerk's Staff; Jackie Thomas, Information Technology Staff; Melissa Purvis and Gwen Richardson, Livestream Technical Staff; and Jo Elizabeth M. Wheat, CVR-CM/M-GNSC, Court Reporter.

TRANSCRIPT / MINUTES OF COMMISSION BUSINESS MEETING

I N D E X

	PAGI
NEXT COMMISSION MEETING SET	3
UTILITIES AGENDA	4
TRANSPORTATION AGENDA	24

In accordance with S.C. Code § 30-4-80(E), the Public Service Commission hereby certifies that it has notified all persons, organizations, local news media, and all other news media requesting notification of the time, date, place, and agenda of this public meeting, by posting a copy of the Notice in its principal office, by e-mailing such Notice to all who request same, and by posting the Notice on the Commission's official Internet website.

PROCEEDINGS 1 CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Good afternoon, ladies 2 and gentlemen. Welcome to the Public Service 3 Commission of South Carolina. Today is January 4 27th; it is 2 p.m. 5 Please join me in a brief moment of silence. 6 [Brief pause] 7 Thank you. We're here today for our agenda 8 meeting. Before we begin our meeting, please, 9 let's take roll. Present in the hearing room we 10 have Commissioner J. Williams, C. Williams, Powers, 11 and Belser. Joining us virtually we have 12 13 Commissioners Caston, Thomas, and Ervin. Attorney Butler, if you would please read the 14 15 agenda. MR. BUTLER: Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr. 16 Chairman. Good afternoon. Mr. Chairman and members 17 of the Commission. 18 The first item of business would be to set the 19 2.0 next Commission business meeting. Staff would recommend that that be set for February 3rd, 2022, 2.1 22 at 2 p.m., which is a Thursday. CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: All right -23 [indicating]. That will be our next business 24

[A/V] AUDIO- AND/OR VIDEOCONFERENCED PARTICIPATION

meeting.

25

1	If you would, please continue and call the
2	first item.
3	MR. BUTLER: Yes, sir, be glad to do so.
4	Going to the Utilities Agenda, Item No. 1 is the
5	request of Communications, Incorporated, and Time
6	Warner Information Services, LLC, for approval of
7	the adoption of an existing interconnection
8	agreement.
9	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: All right. Is there a
LO	motion?
L1	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : Chairman Williams,
L2	Commission Caston. I'd like to make a motion.
L3	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: All right.
L 4	Commissioner Caston, you have the floor.
L5	COMMISSIONER CASTON [A/V]: [EI/inaudible] -Vista
L 6	Communications, Incorporated, and Time Warner Cable
L7	Information Services, LLC, for the adoption of the
L8	existing interconnection agreement between TruVista
L9	Communications, Incorporated, and South Carolina
20	Telecommunications Group Holdings, LLC, doing
21	business as Spirit Communications. I so move.
22	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Hearing Commissioner
23	Caston's motion, is there any discussion?
24	[No response]
25	Hearing none, we'll vote, starting with

[[]A/V] AUDIO- AND/OR VIDEOCONFERENCED PARTICIPATION

1	District 1. Commissioner Williams?
2	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Belser?
4	VICE CHAIR BELSER: Mr. Chairman —
5	[indicating]. Mr. Chairman, I didn't hear the first
6	part of the motion. It was like the video froze.
7	And I hate to ask this. I think he was moving, but
8	he said, "I so move." But could we ask
9	Commissioner Caston to restate?
10	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston, if
11	you would, please, restate your motion, sir?
12	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : Yes. I move that the
13	Commission approve the request of TruVista
14	Communications, Incorporated, and Time Warner Cable
15	Information Services, LLC, for the adoption of the
16	existing interconnection agreement between TruVista
17	Communications, Incorporated, and South Carolina
18	[EI/inaudible] -communications Group Holdings, LLC,
19	doing business as [EI/inaudible] Communications.
20	VICE CHAIR BELSER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21	I vote aye.
22	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: All right. Thank you.
23	Commissioner Caston.
24	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : Aye.
25	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Ervin?

[[]A/V] AUDIO- AND/OR VIDEOCONFERENCED PARTICIPATION

1	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Aye.
2	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Thomas?
3	COMMISSIONER THOMAS[A/V]: Aye.
4	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers?
5	COMMISSIONER POWERS: I vote aye.
6	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams votes
7	aye. The ayes have it; the motion carries
8	MR. BUTLER: Item No. 2 is in Docket No. 2022-
9	2-E. This is a petition to intervene out of time,
LO	in this case, filed by South Carolina Energy Users
L1	Committee.
L2	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: I beg your indulgence,
L3	Attorney Butler. Give me one second.
L 4	[WHEREUPON, Discussion was had off the
L5	record]
L 6	All right. Thank you, Attorney Butler. If
L7	you would, please, reread that item for us?
L8	MR. BUTLER: Yes, sir, I'd be glad to do so,
L9	Mr. Chairman. It's Docket No. 2022-2-E. This is
20	the annual review of base rates for fuel costs for
21	Dominion Energy. We have for Commission
22	consideration a petition to intervene out of time,
23	filed on behalf of South Carolina Energy Users
24	Committee.
25	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: All right. Is there a

1	motion?
2	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Mr. Chairman?
3	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers.
4	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
5	I move that the Commission grant the Petition
6	to Intervene Out of Time, filed by the South
7	Carolina Energy Users Committee. I believe that
8	granting the Petition at this point would not
9	prejudice the parties, nor will the proceeding be
10	delayed.
11	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER POWERS: I so move.
13	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Commissioner
14	Powers.
15	Hearing Commissioner Powers's motion, is there
16	any discussion?
17	[No response]
18	Hearing none, we'll vote, starting with
19	District 1. Commissioner Williams?
20	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Aye.
21	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Belser?
22	VICE CHAIR BELSER: Aye.
23	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston?
24	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : Aye.
25	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Ervin?

1	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Aye.
2	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Thomas?
3	COMMISSIONER THOMAS ^[A/V] : Aye.
4	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers?
5	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Aye.
6	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams votes
7	aye. The ayes have it; the motion carries.
8	MR. BUTLER: Mr. Chairman and other members of
9	the Commission, we have two motions for admission
LO	pro hac vice in Item Nos. 3 and 4. Staff
L1	recommends that these be taken together. They're
L2	both in Docket No. 2021-349-E. The first one is
L3	the Application of Christina D. Cress, Esquire, for
L 4	admission pro hac vice, and Item No. 4 is the
L5	request of Camal O. Robinson for admission pro hac
L 6	vice in the same docket.
L7	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: All right. Those items
L8	will be carried over.
L 9	MR. BUTLER: All right, sir. Thank you. Item
20	No. 5 is in Docket No. 2016-414-E and 2022-17-E.
21	We have the request of Dominion Energy South
22	Carolina, Incorporated, for approval of a contract
23	and two amendments to contracts for electric
24	service between itself and Mercedes-Benz Vans, LLC,
25	along with a request for confidential treatment of

certain portions of the contract documents.
CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Is there a motion?
COMMISSIONER POWERS: Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers.
COMMISSIONER POWERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
I move the Commission grant Dominion Energy
South Carolina, Incorporated's request for approval
of Contract No. E7021048 for electric service, the
Second Amendment to Contract E7016018 for electric
service, and the Third Amendment to Contract No.
E7008010 for electric service, all by and between
itself and Mercedes-Benz Vans, LLC, along with the
request for confidential treatment of certain
portions of the contract documents.
CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Thank you. Commissioner
Powers.
Hearing Commissioner Powers's motion, is there
any discussion?
[No response]
Hearing none, we'll vote, starting with
District 1. Commissioner Williams?
COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Belser
VICE CHAIR BELSER: Aye.
CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston?

1	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : Aye.
2	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Ervin.
3	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Aye.
4	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Thomas?
5	COMMISSIONER THOMAS ^[A/V] : Aye.
6	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers.
7	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams votes
9	aye. The ayes have it; the motion carries.
LO	MR. BUTLER: Item No. 6 is Item ND-2022-1-E.
L1	For consideration before the Commission today is
L2	Dominion Energy South Carolina, Incorporated's
L3	request to open an informational docket pursuant to
L 4	Order No. 2021-570, issued in Docket No. 2020-125-E
L5	regarding stakeholder meetings.
L 6	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Is there a motion?
L7	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams.
L8	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Williams?
L9	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Thank you, sir.
20	I move that the Commission grant Dominion
21	Energy South Carolina, Incorporated's request to
22	open an informational docket pursuant to Order No.
23	2021-570, issued in Docket No. 2020-125-E,
24	regarding the stakeholder process, to examine an
25	electricity affordability program for Dominion

1	Energy South Carolina's low-income customers and
2	related issues. I so move.
3	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Commissioner
4	Williams.
5	Hearing Commissioner Williams's motion, is
6	there any discussion?
7	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
8	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Williams?
9	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Chairman
10	Williams.
11	This is the beginning of the fulfillment of
12	the stipulation from the Dominion rate case last
13	year. In that stipulation and the following order,
14	Dominion Energy agreed to investigate a program for
15	providing electricity affordability for low-income
16	customers.
17	A stakeholder group has met once and heard a
18	presentation on a Dominion Energy Ohio percentage-
19	of-income payment plan.
20	I appreciate the path that this docket takes
21	for Dominion Energy and its customers.
22	Affordability of energy coupled with demand-side
23	management and energy efficiency are key
24	programmatic opportunities for South Carolina's
25	ratepaying customers. I look forward to seeing the

1	programs that may emerge from this process. Thank
2	you.
3	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Commissioner
4	Williams.
5	Is there any further discussion?
6	[No response]
7	Hearing none, we will vote, starting with
8	District 1. Commissioner Williams?
9	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Belser?
11	VICE CHAIR BELSER: I vote aye.
12	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston?
13	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Ervin.
15	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Thomas?
17	COMMISSIONER THOMAS ^[A/V] : Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers?
19	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams votes
21	aye. The ayes have it; the motion carries.
22	MR. BUTLER: Item No. 7 is under Docket No.
23	2021-291-A. Staff is presenting for Commission
24	consideration issues related to the prefiling of
25	surrebuttal testimony before the Commission.

CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: 1 Is there a motion? COMMISSIONER POWERS: Mr. Chairman? 2 CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers, 3 you have the floor, sir. 4 COMMISSIONER POWERS: The allowance of 5 surrebuttal testimony at the Commission has been 6 questioned in recent months and is one of the 7 matters on which the Commission recently sought 8 comments by parties appearing before the 9 Commission. Comments were received on the subject, 10 both pro and con, and these have prompted me to 11 make this motion before the Commission at this 12 13 time. 14 Rebuttal testimony is a matter of right; 15 however, surrebuttal testimony is discretionary as stated by the South Carolina Supreme Court in the 16 case of Palmetto Alliance versus South Carolina 17 Public Service Commission. 18 Surrebuttal testimony must be viewed as 19 2.0 somewhat different from other testimony because, if 2.1 presented, it comes at a point in a proceeding 22 where the parties have submitted their direct exhibits and have also had an opportunity to 2.3 24 respond to the other parties' testimony and 25 exhibits. The theory and purpose of surrebuttal

2.0

2.1

2.3

testimony is to respond to any new matters brought up by the moving party in its rebuttal testimony. However, if rebuttal is limited to responding to other parties' direct testimony, as intended, then surrebuttal testimony should rarely, if ever, be necessary. That is why, historically, surrebuttal testimony has only been presented as deemed necessary in the discretion of the Commission.

To the extent that the Commission believes the privilege has or is being abused, I believe that the Commission has authority to curb such abuses by limiting the scope of presentation of surrebuttal testimony on a case-by-case basis. I also believe the potential for such abuses may be limited in the same manner.

Accordingly, I move that the Commission adopt the following procedures, effective today:

When developing the procedural schedule where prefiled testimony is anticipated, the Commission's Clerk's Office shall establish a deadline wherein an appropriate party may file a motion to prefile surrebuttal testimony. The motion shall be filed after any rebuttal testimony has been prefiled and shall provide the Commission with good cause, if any, as to why the party should be allowed to

2.0

2.1

2.3

prefile surrebuttal testimony in the specific case.

A date shall also be set for the prefiling of surrebuttal testimony, should the Commission grant the motion.

Should the motion be granted for good cause, the surrebuttal testimony may be prefiled. If good cause is not shown, the moving party may not prefile surrebuttal testimony.

In making this motion, I'm not accusing any party appearing before the Commission of abusing the use of surrebuttal testimony; I'm stating that, since surrebuttal testimony is discretionary with the Commission, its presentation should be scrutinized and approved or rejected on a case-by-case basis by using the methodology that I have proposed. I so move.

CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Hearing Commissioner

Powers' motion, is there any discussion or comment?

COMMISSIONER POWERS: Yes, sir. I would just comment further that we've had some rather long, involved hearings in the past year, year and a half, that I felt like I didn't hear new issues; I just heard the same thing over, and even felt like some of it denigrated to just who got the last word or an attack on each other's parties. And I just

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

think that there needs to be sound reasoning for surrebuttal testimony to be presented. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Commissioner Powers.

VICE CHAIR BELSER: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Belser?

VICE CHAIR BELSER: Thank you.

I think that this — Commissioner Powers, I respectfully appreciate your position and your However, I am going to disagree with it motion. today. I think that this matter really starts with the filing of - a lot of times - the filing of the application and the completeness of what needs to be in the application. I think that, in the regulation review that has been undertaken by Executive Director and Staff, along with the Advisory Committee members, that that is one of the things being addressed. I think what I've seen happen over the years is that an application is filed; perfunctory direct testimony is filed that doesn't give a lot of reasoning, necessarily, but a lot of generalities. And then once the intervenors and other parties, based on their discovery and other discussions with the applicant, once they file their testimony, then, in rebuttal, we see the

2.0

2.1

2.3

case-in-chief really being presented. And I think that's backwards. And I understand what the case law in South Carolina says; I don't think that the case law envisioned basically turning the regulatory process around, and I think that's what's happened here in some instances.

So I think that the process that we have now allows for completeness, because oftentimes there is significant surrebuttal due to the amount of detail that is being presented on rebuttal that should have been presented in direct — in this person's opinion. And so I think that the process that's been in place has somewhat been working.

I don't think that — well, surrebuttal hasn't been filed in every case, when a party has not needed to address new matters brought out. But, unfortunately, where we see significant rebuttal and significant surrebuttal are in these large cases. I do like the fact that the parties have the opportunity to present fully their positions. I think that's very important. And I don't think that there will be any streamlining of the hearing by reining in, somewhat, some of the surrebuttal, for lack of a better term. "Reining in" may not be the right one.

2.0

2.1

I would like to thank the parties for their comments. There were some interesting comments that I think that, as the Commission and the parties go through rulemakings, if we decide to revise some of the regulations, I think that even some of these comments that came in today would be very helpful. But while I appreciate what the motion is intended to do, I respectfully will be voting no on it. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CASTON^[A/V]: Chairman Williams?

CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston,

you have the floor, sir.

COMMISSIONER CASTON^[A/V]: Having listened to the motion and Vice Chair Belser's comments, all very good and thought-provoking, relative to, I guess, issues with applications that are incomplete or not complete, I — you know, I agree that that has been an issue or at least expressed as an issue, and I do think that is something that needs to be, really, developed [EI/inaudible] standard. That said, the way this motion reads, or I understood, was it would be on a case-by-case basis, and if there were opportunities or times when the applications we felt like were insufficient and it did justify surrebuttal testimony, then that makes

1 sense, but every hearing is not tied to an application of that magnitude. So I guess the 2 point I'm trying to make is the motion, as read, 3 would be viewed on a case-by-case basis, which 4 makes a lot of sense to me, because if the direct 5 testimony is thorough and the rebuttal testimony 6 makes the point desired, I concur that would 7 resolve the issues. But, that said, just my view 8 But I appreciate the [EI/inaudible]. 9 CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston, I 10 think we may be having some connectivity issues. 11 Some of your comments were not heard. I don't 12 13 know - I think I understood the general nature and 14 intent of your comments, but if you want to repeat 15 them for the sake of the record, you're welcome to do so. I just wanted to let you know that some of 16 17 your comments were not heard. COMMISSIONER CASTON[A/V]: Well, hopefully, all 18 19 the poor ones were not heard, then. Can you hear 2.0 me okay now? CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir. 2.1 COMMISSIONER CASTON[A/V]: I guess a more — a 22 23

COMMISSIONER CASTON^[A/V]: I guess a more — a synopsis is the motion, as read, I believe makes good sense, in that we're talking about doing it on a case-by-case basis. Every hearing we've had has

24

25

[[]A/V] AUDIO- AND/OR VIDEOCONFERENCED PARTICIPATION

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

not involved a detailed application process that, you know, was, I guess, inadequate or accused of being inadequate. So just a blanket option to always do it, regardless, to allow surrebuttal to me seems, actually, inappropriate. But doing it as stated in the motion on a case-by-case basis, based on the merits, makes sense. And relative to the applications, I too agree that if applications are not complete [EI/inaudible] then I'm not sure we should have a hearing until it is. I think those [EI/inaudible] are being addressed and will be addressed maybe in regulations, also, as far as updates.

Could you hear that?

CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Well, there's still some words that we didn't hear, but, again, I think we understood the general nature of — [indicating]. I think we understood the general nature of your comments, sir. We did not hear every word. think — at least, I understood the general nature of your comments.

Madam Court Reporter, were you able to transcribe his comments?

COURT REPORTER: Not every word. I did miss words.

[[]A/V] AUDIO- AND/OR VIDEOCONFERENCED PARTICIPATION

1 CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Okay. Just so you know, Commissioner Caston, there were some words 2 3 that the court reporter left out because she could not hear them. 4 **COMMISSIONER CASTON**[A/V]: I understand and I 5 appreciate it. Well, thank y'all very much for 6 enduring that. 7 CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir. 8 **VICE CHAIR BELSER:** Mr. Chairman, may I 9 clarify something? 10 CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Yes, ma'am, Vice Chair 11 Belser. 12 13 VICE CHAIR BELSER: I did not mean to say 14 that — if I did — that the applications are 15 incomplete. I think the applications are being 16 filed in accordance with the regulations. 17 think the regulations require - are not sufficient 18 enough with where we are on cases, on big cases, 19 these days and what needs to be in the 2.0 applications. I think — and that's where I think 2.1 the work is going, is to beef up what is required to be filed. That's where I think we need to start 22 is with that regulation on what needs to be filed. 2.3 24 The ones that are coming in, they are meeting 25 the basic requirements of the regulation. It's

[[]A/V] AUDIO- AND/OR VIDEOCONFERENCED PARTICIPATION

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

just that I think we're needing more detail — this Commissioner's opinion is that we need more detail in the application than what the regulations currently require.

So I just wanted to clarify that, if I stated that the applications were insufficient, they are not insufficient with the current regulations. I think they're lacking what some of us want to see in the applications. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: All right.

Commissioner Powers, I'm happy to support your motion, sir, and I appreciate your leadership. the Commissioners know, based on their leadership experience, that it's easy to do nothing. the Commission seeks to gain procedural efficiency, and I think that this is a step towards that I understand that we're in the review effort. process of our regulations and that there are going to be some changes made there, but I also think it's not a bad idea to have discussions like these to see if there are opportunities for improvement. And I have to echo Commissioner — Vice Chair Belser, excuse me - Vice Chair Belser's comments about the parties' comments and participation. I greatly appreciated the parties offering

1	suggestions not just on the matter of surrebuttal
2	but gaining procedural efficiency overall.
3	And so my hope is that, as we continue to
4	review how we conduct business here at the
5	Commission, that we will continue to improve and
6	use the tools we have available to help us pursue
7	excellence. So, I appreciate your leadership in
8	the matter, sir, and I'm happy to support your
9	motion.
10	All right. If there are no further comments,
11	we'll vote.
12	[Brief pause]
13	We'll start with District 1. Commissioner
14	Williams?
15	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Belser.
17	VICE CHAIR BELSER: No.
18	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston.
19	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Ervin.
21	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Thomas.
23	COMMISSIONER THOMAS ^[A/V] : Aye.
24	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers.
25	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Aye.

1	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams votes
2	aye. The ayes have it; the motion carries.
3	MR. BUTLER: Mr. Chairman and other members of
4	the Commission, that completes the Utilities Agenda
5	for today.
6	Moving on to our Motor Carrier Agenda, we have
7	two items that encompass Class C authority, Items 1
8	and 2, and Staff would recommend that those be
9	taken together today.
10	Item No. 1 is the application of Open Arms
11	Counseling Center, Incorporated, d/b/a Open Arms
12	Logistical Service; this is for Class C (Stretcher
13	Van) authority. Item No. 2 is Docket No. 2022-30-
14	T, the Application of East Coast Transportation
15	Services LLC for a Class C (Charter) Certificate.
16	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Is there a motion?
17	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : Chairman Williams?
18	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston.
19	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : I move that the
20	Commission approve the Applications for Class C
21	authority in Item Nos. 1 and 2, as listed by Mr.
22	Butler.
23	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
24	COMMISSIONER CASTON $[A/V]$: I so move.
25	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Commissioner

1	Caston.
2	Hearing Commissioner Caston's motion, is there
3	any discussion?
4	[No response]
5	Hearing none, we'll vote, starting with
6	District 1. Commissioner Williams?
7	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Belser?
9	VICE CHAIR BELSER: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston?
11	COMMISSIONER CASTON[A/V]: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Ervin?
13	COMMISSIONER ERVIN[A/V]: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Thomas.
15	COMMISSIONER THOMAS[A/V]: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers?
17	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams votes
19	aye. The ayes have it; the motion carries.
20	MR. BUTLER: Mr. Chairman and other members of
21	the Commission, Items 3 through 10 on the Motor
22	Carriers Agenda consist of requests to amend
23	various documents.
24	Item No. $1_{[\text{sic}]}$ is a request to amend Class C
25	(Non-Emergency) Certificate No. 9202 to read

1	"MyRide SC, LLC." This is the Application of S&W
2	Investments, Inc., d/b/a S&W Transport.
3	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Is there a motion?
4	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Mr. Chairman, I have a
5	motion.
6	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers,
7	you have the floor, sir.
8	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Thank you.
9	I move the Commission grant the request of S&W
10	Investments, Incorporated, doing business as S&W
11	Transport, to amend Class C (Non-Emergency)
12	Certificate No. 9202 to read "MyRide SC, LLC."
13	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Hearing Commissioner
14	Caston's — excuse me. Hearing Commissioner Powers'
15	motion, is there any discussion?
16	[No response]
17	Hearing none, we'll vote, starting with
18	District 1. Commissioner Williams?
19	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Belser.
21	VICE CHAIR BELSER: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston?
23	COMMISSIONER CASTON[A/V]: Aye.
24	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Ervin?
25	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Aye.

1	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Thomas.
2	COMMISSIONER THOMAS[A/V]: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers?
4	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams votes
6	aye. The ayes have it; the motion carries.
7	MR. BUTLER: Item No. 4 is in Docket No. 2021-
8	369-T. We have a request from Wells Venture, LLC,
9	d/b/a College HUNKS Hauling Junk & Moving, to amend
10	its tariff.
11	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Is there a motion?
12	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : Chairman Williams?
13	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston,
14	you have the floor, sir.
15	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : Hopefully, you can
16	hear me.
17	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : I move that the
19	Commission approve the Application of Wells
20	Venture, LLC, doing business as College HUNKS
21	Hauling Junk & Moving, to amend its [EI/inaudible].
22	The Commission finds the proposed amendments to the
23	tariff are reasonable and justified. In addition,
24	the Office of Regulatory Staff reports the company
25	is in compliance with all Commission Rules and

[[]A/V] AUDIO- AND/OR VIDEOCONFERENCED PARTICIPATION

1	Regulations. Wells Venture is to file a
2	[EI/inaudible] tariff incorporating the amendments
3	made in the revised proposed tariff; changing the
4	[EI/inaudible] of the tariff at the bottom of each
5	page to the date of the order issued approving the
6	amendment; and correcting the [EI/inaudible]
7	contents to include all sections of the revised
8	tariff and to correct page numbers. I so move.
9	Could you hear that?
10	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: I'm being told no,
11	and —
12	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : Can I call in?
13	Because I'm getting reverb and feedback also, Chair
14	Williams.
15	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
16	COMMISSIONER CASTON [A/V]: Okay. Let me — excuse
17	me.
18	[WHEREUPON, discussion was had off the
19	record.]
20	Let's try it one more time, Chairman Williams,
21	if that's okay.
22	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: It's quite all right.
23	Please continue.
24	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : I move that the
25	Commission approve the Application of Wells

1	Venture, LLC, doing business as College HUNKS
2	Hauling Junk & Moving, to amend its tariffs. The
3	Commission finds the proposed amendments to the
4	tariff are reasonable and justified. In addition,
5	the Office of Regulatory Staff reports the company
6	is in compliance with all Commission Rules and
7	Regulations. Wells Venture is to file a final
8	tariff incorporating the amendments made in the
9	revised proposed tariff; changing the date of the
10	tariff at the bottom of each page to the date of
11	the order issued approving the amendment; and
12	correcting the table of contents to include all
13	sections of the revised tariff and to correct page
14	numbers. I so move.
15	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Commissioner
16	Caston.
17	Hearing Commissioner Caston's motion, is there
18	any discussion?
19	[No response]
20	Hearing none, we'll vote, starting with
21	District 1. Commissioner Williams.
22	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: I vote aye.
23	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Belser.
24	VICE CHAIR BELSER: I vote aye.
25	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston.

1	COMMISSIONER CASTON[A/V]: Aye.
2	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Ervin.
3	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Aye.
4	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Thomas.
5	COMMISSIONER THOMAS[A/V]: Aye.
6	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers.
7	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams votes
9	aye. The ayes have it; the motion carries.
10	MR. BUTLER: Item No. 5 is in Docket No. 2021-
11	329-T. We have for Commission consideration K&K
12	Investments, Inc., d/b/a Apartment Movers Etc. and
13	America's Best Moving System's Application to amend
14	its tariff.
15	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Is there a motion?
16	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Mr. Chairman, I have a
17	motion.
18	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Ervin, you
19	have the floor, sir.
20	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Thank you, Mr.
21	Chairman.
22	I move that the Commission approve K&K
23	Investments, Inc., doing business as Apartment
24	Movers Etc. and America's Best Moving System's
25	request to amend its remaining tariff changes,

1	specifically Rule 16(B), Rule 16(D), Rule 27, Rule
2	37(C), Rule 39, and Rule 40. K&K Investments is to
3	file a final tariff incorporating all of the
4	amendments made in the revised proposed tariff. So
5	move.
6	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Hearing Commissioner
7	Ervin's motion, is there any discussion?
8	[No response]
9	Hearing none, we'll vote, starting with
10	District 1. Commissioner Williams?
11	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Belser?
13	VICE CHAIR BELSER: I vote aye.
14	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston?
15	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Ervin?
17	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers?
19	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Thomas?
21	COMMISSIONER THOMAS ^[A/V] : Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams votes
23	aye. The ayes have it; the motion carries.
24	MR. BUTLER: Item No. 6 is in Docket No. 2009-
25	151-T. For Commission consideration is a request

for approval of a revised bill of lading by Malzone 1 Russell d/b/a Basic Moving. 2 CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Is there a motion? 3 COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams. 4 CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Williams, 5 you have the floor, ma'am. 6 COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Thank you, sir. 7 With regard to its request for approval of its 8 revised bill of lading, I move that the Commission 9 direct Malzone Russell, doing business as Basic 10 Moving, to meet the requirements of South Carolina 11 Code Annotated Regs. 103-159 by incorporating the 12 13 point of origin, which is number four; the name and address of the consignee/receiver, which is number 14 15 five; declaration of valuation, number six; C.O.D shipment information, number eight; and the number 16 of the bill of lading, number 11; and any other 17 18 elements necessary to ensure compliance with Regulation 103-159. 19 2.0 Basic Moving shall file a final revised bill of lading incorporating these amendments within 30 2.1 22 days of today's date. Furthermore, I'd suggest updating the language 2.3 regarding personal items to read as follows: "All 24 25 small valuables, including, but not limited to,

purses, wallets, jewelry, and coins shall be locked 1 and/or safely secured at all times if they are to 2 be moved by Basic Moving. Basic Moving may not be 3 responsible for any loss of personal items due to 4 the customer's failure to properly secure such 5 items during a move." 6 I so move. 7 CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Hearing Commissioner 8 Williams's motion, is there any discussion? 9 **COMMISSIONER POWERS:** Mr. Chairman? 10 CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers, 11 you have the floor, sir. 12 13 COMMISSIONER POWERS: Thank you. I would join in with Commissioner Williams' motion that she has 14 15 made, which avoids what I call blanket liability 16 coverage, which it seems to be that originally they 17 wanted to have a situation where they could just 18 deny liability under any circumstance based on 19 whether or not things are locked up or safe and 2.0 secured. One of the 14 requirements of the bill of 2.1 lading is liability insurance itself. 22 I just feel liability can't always be denied. I can think of several situations where, in the 2.3 24 rush of a move, someone — even the movers — picked

[A/V] AUDIO- AND/OR VIDEOCONFERENCED PARTICIPATION

25

up something that had been not locked or safely

1 secured and it's put on the truck, and the mover uses kids from whatever neighborhood or whatever 2 school or wherever he goes, and they take 3 something. He is still liable. And I think it 4 needs to be clear that there's no such thing as 5 some sort of denial of liability in a case, and I 6 think this motion serves us well and it allows, if 7 there is a question or a lawsuit or something that 8 could go to court, I think they walk into the 9 courtroom on equal footing, by the language saying 10 "may not" rather than giving Basic Moving the right 11 to just deny liability. 12 13 CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Thank you, sir. COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams? 14 15 CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Williams? COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Thank you. 16 17 was a challenging motion to work on, and Basic 18 Moving initiated this Application by indicating to 19 the Office of Regulatory Staff that they wanted to 2.0 clarify responsibilities of the moving company and 2.1 the client regarding personal items, in their bill 22 of lading. This led ORS to instruct Basic Moving to file application with the Commission for 2.3 24 approval. 25 Upon review, the items listed in my motion

1	were found to be missing from the bill of lading.
2	But in addition, my motion includes the suggestion
3	to this pro se applicant that they, number one,
4	define the referenced personal items by offering
5	greater clarity and specificity than initially
6	offered; and number two, that they reflect both the
7	responsibility of the client to lock their personal
8	valuables and to the company to provide due
9	diligence in their shipment.
10	Thank you, Mr. Chairman — oh. Normally, the
11	Commission would not make such an editorial
12	suggestion, but given that this element of the bill
13	of lading was their primary request, I've chosen to
14	make this suggestion a part of my motion.
15	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Understood.
16	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Thank you, ma'am.
18	Any further discussion?
19	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Mr. Chairman?
20	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Ervin, you
21	have the floor, sir.
22	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Thank you, Mr.
23	Chairman.
24	And I would like to follow up just a moment
25	and suggest that we, as a Commission, might

[[]A/V] AUDIO- AND/OR VIDEOCONFERENCED PARTICIPATION

consider a standard approved bill of lading, as so 1 many of these applications are coming from pro se 2 litigants that have no lawyer. I think it would be 3 better, rather than having to review each and every 4 bill of lading on a case-by-case basis, if we could 5 come up with a proposed form. We may need to open 6 a docket to take testimony into evidence and 7 comments. But it would simplify the process. Ιt 8 would also give us the opportunity, as Commissioner 9 Powers has pointed out, to protect the public 10 interest, because these are simply what the law 11 calls, really, an adhesion contract. When you're 12 13 handed this bill of lading on your moving day, a 14 lot of this is - potentially, it's in fine print, 15 everybody's in a hurry to get going, load the truck, and that's not the best kind of environment 16 to discuss contractual provisions that could be 17 18 unfairly included and prejudice the moving party's 19 rights. We don't want that to happen. 2.0 We also don't - we think - I think it would be good for movers, generally, to know what belongs in 2.1 22 a bill of lading. I did a little research. federal regs. have a standard bill of lading, and 23 24 they go through - there are 14 points that have to 25 be included. And some of them have to be included

in bold print so that they're clearly as clear to 1 the moving party what they are agreeing to. 2 3 So I would hope that we might go a step further and consider adopting a standardized bill 4 of lading for South Carolina movers that are under 5 our jurisdiction, and perhaps we can consider that 6 at our next regularly scheduled business meeting or 7 at some other appropriate place and time. 8 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 9 CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Commissioner 10 Ervin. 11 COMMISSIONER POWERS: Mr. Chairman. 12 13 CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers? COMMISSIONER POWERS: Mr. Chairman, I would 14 15 strongly agree with Commissioner Ervin. I don't 16 want to micromanage moving companies, and I think 17 the real competition should be in pricing, not in 18 certain requirements that are - or legal 19 requirements under our regs. And I think it would 2.0 be a good thing to take a serious look at, and I 2.1 appreciate both his research and his wise counsel 22 on this. Thank you. CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: All right. Any further 2.3 discussion? 24 25 [No response]

[[]A/V] AUDIO- AND/OR VIDEOCONFERENCED PARTICIPATION

1	Hearing none, we'll vote, starting with
2	District 1. Commissioner Williams?
3	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Aye.
4	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Belser?
5	VICE CHAIR BELSER: I vote aye on the motion.
6	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston?
7	COMMISSIONER CASTON[A/V]: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Ervin?
9	COMMISSIONER ERVIN[A/V]: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Thomas.
11	COMMISSIONER THOMAS[A/V]: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers?
13	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams votes
15	aye. The ayes have it; the motion carries.
16	MR. BUTLER: Item No. 7 is in Docket No. 2021-
17	332-T, Armstrong Relocation Company, Charleston,
18	LLC, has an Application to amend its tariff.
19	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Is there a motion?
20	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams.
21	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Williams,
22	you have the floor, ma'am.
23	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Thank you, sir.
24	I move that the Commission approve the
25	Application of Armstrong Relocation Company,

1	Charleston, LLC, to amend its tariff, with two
2	amendments: First, in order to improve clarity,
3	the tariff should be updated to reflect that any
4	fees related to additional stops during the move
5	shall only be applied to the customer when they are
6	specifically requested by the customer; second, I'd
7	request that the flight carry fee be updated to
8	include a list of costs for each additional flight
9	of stairs. Both of these points will help clarify
LO	the proposed rates.
L1	These amendments shall be incorporated into a
L2	final tariff and filed by Armstrong Relocation
L3	Company as a second revised proposed tariff within
L 4	30 days of today's date.
L5	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Hearing Commissioner
L 6	Williams's motion, is there any discussion?
L7	[No response]
L8	Hearing none, we'll vote —
L 9	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Mr. Chairman? Mr.
20	Chairman?
21	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir, Commissioner
22	Ervin.
23	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Just briefly — and I
24	appreciate Commissioner Carolee Williams' attention
25	to detail on this particular contractual provision.

1	But this, again, is another example where, if we
2	had a standard contract or bill of lading, we could
3	specify with great precision what we mean by
4	"stairs" and how that could be incorporated in a
5	fair way for both the mover and the moving party,
6	so that it doesn't have to be interpreted or
7	questioned during or after a move when the bill
8	comes due. Again, this is another reason why we
9	need a standard bill of lading, and I would hope
LO	that as we go through all these various changes
L1	that we're discussing today, we'll keep that in
L2	mind. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
L3	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Thank you, sir.
L 4	Any further discussion? Vice Chair Belser?
L5	VICE CHAIR BELSER: I'm just — this motion
L 6	pertains to the tariff, doesn't it? I was — I'm a
L7	bit confused. This motion relates to the tariff,
L8	not a bill of lading, right?
L 9	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : I stand corrected, and
20	you're right.
21	VICE CHAIR BELSER: I'm sorry I —
22	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : And perhaps we need —
23	yeah, we need a — we may need a standard tariff, as
24	well. That's just a thought. Because, again,
25	these terms change from applicant to applicant. So

1	it's just food for thought. Thank you, Madam Vice
2	Chair.
3	VICE CHAIR BELSER: Thank you for the
4	clarification.
5	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: If there's no more
6	discussion, we'll vote, starting with District 1.
7	Commissioner Williams.
8	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Belser?
10	VICE CHAIR BELSER: I vote aye.
11	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston?
12	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : Aye.
13	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Ervin.
14	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Aye.
15	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Thomas.
16	COMMISSIONER THOMAS ^[A/V] : Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers.
18	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams votes
20	aye. The ayes have it; the motion carries.
21	MR. BUTLER: Item No. 8 is under Docket No.
22	2021-345-T. This is for Commission consideration
23	of Luxury Movers Moving Company LLC's Application
24	to amend its tariff.
25	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Is there a motion?

1	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Mr. Chairman?
2	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers,
3	you have the floor, sir.
4	COMMISSIONER POWERS: I move the Commission
5	approve Luxury Movers Moving Company LLC's request
6	to amend its tariff.
7	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Hearing Commissioner
8	Powers's motion, is there any discussion?
9	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Mr. Chairman?
10	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers,
11	you have the floor, sir.
12	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Thank you.
13	I'd like to add: This is another case I think
14	pro se — I'm a little disappointed in that I think
15	we've given adequate time and adequate opportunity
16	for a response as to why the increase should be
17	done and whether — it would give us a little more
18	to decide on whether or not the increase is just
19	and reasonable. It would be very helpful to the
20	Staff if we had some sort of specificity, such as
21	gas cost, labor cost, any sort of increase, rather
22	than because you have a lot of business. If
23	someone's going to raise their price because they
24	have a lot of business, that almost scares me or
25	borders on some sort of price gouging, and

1	certainly don't want to go down that road. But
2	this is one where the amount is right, and is just
3	and reasonable; but, again, it would be helpful for
4	more specificity. Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Williams?
6	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: [Shaking head.]
7	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: All right. Any further
8	discussion?
9	[No response]
10	Hearing none, we'll vote, starting with
11	District 1. Commissioner Williams.
12	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Aye.
13	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Belser?
14	VICE CHAIR BELSER: Aye.
15	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston.
16	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Ervin?
18	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Thomas.
20	COMMISSIONER THOMAS[A/V]: Aye.
21	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers?
22	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Aye.
23	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams votes
24	aye. The ayes have it; the motion carries.
25	MR. BUTLER: Item No. 9 is Docket No. 2021-

1	358-T. For Commission consideration is the
2	Application of ABA Moving & Storage, Incorporated,
3	d/b/a Two Men and A Truck, to amend its tariff.
4	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Is there a motion?
5	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams.
6	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Williams,
7	you have the floor, ma'am.
8	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Thank you, sir.
9	I move that the Commission approve ABA Moving
10	& Storage, Inc., doing business as Two Men and A
11	Truck's request to amend its tariff.
12	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Hearing Commissioner
13	Williams's motion, is there any discussion?
14	[No response]
15	Hearing none, we'll vote, starting with
16	District 1. Commissioner Williams?
17	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Belser.
19	VICE CHAIR BELSER: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston?
21	COMMISSIONER CASTON[A/V]: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Ervin.
23	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Aye.
24	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Thomas?
25	COMMISSIONER THOMAS ^[A/V] : Aye.

1	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers.
2	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams votes
4	aye. The ayes have it; the motion carries.
5	MR. BUTLER: Item No. 10 is in Docket No.
6	2021-353-T. This is a request of the South
7	Carolina Tariff Bureau, Incorporated, to amend its
8	South Carolina Tariff No. 7.
9	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Is there a motion?
10	COMMISSIONER THOMAS ^[A/V] : Mr. Chairman, this is
11	Commissioner Thomas.
12	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Thomas,
13	you have the floor, sir.
14	COMMISSIONER THOMAS ^[A/V] : Thank you.
15	I move that the Commission grant the
16	Application of South Carolina Tariff Bureau,
17	Incorporated, to amend South Carolina Tariff No.
18	9 — excuse me — No. 7, canceling the South Carolina
19	Tariff No. 7 and replacing it with South Carolina
20	Tariff No. 8. In addition, I request that the
21	South Carolina Tariff Bureau investigate whether
22	the 60 cents per pound valuation referenced in Rule
23	2 and Rule 9 should be reevaluated in the next
24	tariff update. So moved.
25	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Hearing Commissioner

[[]A/V] AUDIO- AND/OR VIDEOCONFERENCED PARTICIPATION

1	Thomas's motion, is there any discussion?
2	[No response]
3	Hearing none, we'll —
4	COMMISSIONER THOMAS[A/V]: Mr. Chairman.
5	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Oh, Commissioner
6	Thomas, you have the floor, sir.
7	COMMISSIONER THOMAS[A/V]: Thank you.
8	I'd just like to thank the South Carolina
9	Tariff Bureau for updating this information. The
LO	document is about 27 pages long. And given that
L1	household movers are handling people's personal
L2	items, I'm sure it's a difficult business and it's
L3	hard to keep customers happy. And I've noticed that
L 4	when we, at the PSC, conduct hearings to qualify new
L5	movers, we tend to have a lot of questions of a
L 6	similar nature for the different applicants, much as
L7	we have seen here today in our discussions. And I
L8	think to the greatest extent that all parties can
L9	work together to formalize standard documents, it
20	would be better for customers.
21	I would like to encourage the moving industry,
22	the South Carolina Tariff Bureau, the ORS, the PSC,
23	and attorneys that work with the moving industry to
24	continue to work toward more standard contracts.
25	To me, that would be something like realtors have a

1	standard contract, and all realtors around the
2	State use that same contract.
3	That's all I have, sir.
4	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Thank you for your
5	comments, sir.
6	Any further discussion?
7	[No response]
8	Hearing none, we'll vote, starting with
9	District 1. Commissioner Williams?
10	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Aye.
11	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Belser.
12	VICE CHAIR BELSER: I vote aye.
13	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston?
14	COMMISSIONER CASTON[A/V]: Aye.
15	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Ervin?
16	COMMISSIONER ERVIN[A/V]: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Thomas.
18	COMMISSIONER THOMAS[A/V]: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers?
20	COMMISSIONER POWERS: I vote aye.
21	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams votes
22	aye. The ayes have it; the motion carries.
23	MR. BUTLER: Mr. Chairman and other members of
24	the Commission, Item 11 is a request for an
25	extension. We have the request of C&J

1	Transportation, LLC, for an extension of time until
2	May 1, 2022, to comply with Commission Rules and
3	Regulations.
4	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Is there a motion?
5	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : Chairman Williams,
6	this is Commissioner Caston. I'd like to make a
7	motion.
8	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston,
9	you have the floor, sir.
10	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : I move that the
11	Commission grant the request of C&J Transportation,
12	LLC, for an extension of time until May 1, 2022, to
13	comply with Commission Rules and Regulations.
14	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Hearing Commissioner
15	Caston's motion, is there any discussion?
16	[No response]
17	Hearing none, we'll vote, starting with
18	District 1. Commissioner Williams?
19	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Belser?
21	VICE CHAIR BELSER: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston?
23	COMMISSIONER CASTON ^[A/V] : Aye.
24	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Ervin?
25	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Aye.

1	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Thomas?
2	COMMISSIONER THOMAS[A/V]: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers.
4	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams votes
6	aye. The ayes have it; the motion carries.
7	MR. BUTLER: Item No. 12 is Docket No. 2016-
8	405. We have a request for reinstatement of Class
9	C (Taxi) Certificate No. 9244, at the request of
10	George C. Mclean, Jr.
11	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Is there a motion?
12	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Mr. Chairman?
13	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Powers.
14	COMMISSIONER POWERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
15	I move the Commission carry over the request
16	of George C. McLean, Jr., for reinstatement of
17	Class C (Taxi) Certificate No. 9244 until such time
18	as the Office of Regulatory Staff provides a
19	response to the Applicant's request. So move.
20	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Hearing Commissioner
21	Powers's motion, is there any discussion?
22	[No response]
23	Hearing none, we'll vote, starting with
24	District 1. Commissioner Williams?
25	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Aye.

[[]A/V] AUDIO- AND/OR VIDEOCONFERENCED PARTICIPATION

1	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Belser?
2	VICE CHAIR BELSER: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Caston?
4	COMMISSIONER CASTON[A/V]: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Ervin?
6	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Thomas?
8	COMMISSIONER THOMAS[A/V]: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Commissioner
10	Powers?
11	COMMISSIONER POWERS: I vote aye.
12	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Chairman Williams votes
13	aye. The ayes have it; the motion carries.
14	MR. BUTLER: Mr. Chairman and other members of
15	the Commission, that does complete our Motor
16	Carriers Agenda and, indeed, all agendas before the
17	Commission for business today.
18	CHAIRMAN J. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Attorney
19	Butler.
20	Ladies and gentlemen, enjoy the rest of your
21	day. We are adjourned.
22	[WHEREUPON, at 2:53 p.m., the proceedings
23	in the above-entitled matter were
24	adjourned.]
25	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
LO	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L 6	
L7	
L8	
	JoElizabeth M. Wheat, CVR-CM/M-GNSC Court Reporter ~ Public Service Commission of South Carolina 803 896 5100 ~ Jo Wheat@psc sc groy